

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of: Arlt et al. Confirmation No.: 5772  
Serial No.: 10/018,064  
Group Art Unit: 1796  
  
Filed: October 30, 2001  
Examiner: Cooney, J.M.  
For: METHOD FOR PRODUCING POLYURETHANE FOAMS

---

**INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

On November 24, 2008, Applicants' Representative, Chris Andrzejak, conducted a telephonic interview with Examiner Cooney. The subject Interview Summary is provided to make of record the substance of the Interview. No exhibits were shown and a demonstration did not take place during the Interview. The independent claims were discussed and, specifically, the indefiniteness rejections were discussed, as were the substantive rejections relying upon Smith. While no agreement was reached as to these rejections, the Examiner suggested that more favorable consideration would be given to an amendment of the claims to specify that unsaturated functionality of "substantially all" of compound (i) that is claimed as either reacted according to 1) or 2) unreacted for purposes of overcoming the indefiniteness rejections. The Examiner also suggest that more favorable consideration would be given to the claims if the claimed process of claim 1 was amended to specify a process of decreasing the content of amines in the polyurethane foam rather than a process

for producing polyurethane foams.

Although no fees are believed due, the Commissioner is authorized to charge our Deposit Account No. 08-2789 for any fees or credit the account for any overpayment.

Respectfully submitted,

**HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS, P.C.**

December 1, 2008

**Date**

/Christopher S. Andrzejak/

**Christopher S. Andrzejak, Reg. No. 57,212**

The Pinehurst Office Center, Suite 101

39400 Woodward Avenue

Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304-5151

(248) 723-0438